
PLANNING APPLICATIONS COMMITTEE
Addendum 06.04.2016

Reg. Ref	Address	Ward	Page
2015/05217/RES	Land North Of Westfield Shopping Centre Ariel Way London W12	Shepherds Bush Green	11
Page 11	Replace Site Plan		
Page 12	Remove drawing no. W2-AAM-ZA-10-DR-A-08001 P03 from drawing numbers list.		
Page 13	<p>Replace “That the Committee resolve that the Director of Planning and Growth be authorised to determine the application and grant permission up on the completion of a satisfactory legal agreement and subject to the condition(s) set out below” with “That the Committee resolve that the Director of Planning and Growth be authorised to determine the application and grant permission subject to the conditions set out below”</p> <p>Remove drawing no. W2-AAM-ZA-10-DR-A-08001 P03 from Condition 1 (Approved Drawings)..</p> <p>Condition 2: Insert ‘(as defined by approved parameters plan WLD 006)’ after Silver Street.</p>		
Page 17	Replace ‘BS8233:1998’ with ‘BS8233:2014’		
Page 19	<p>Condition 15: Insert ‘(as defined by approved parameters plan WLD 006)’ after Relay Square.</p> <p>Condition 16: Insert ‘(as defined by approved parameters plan WLD 006)’ after Silver Street.</p>		
Page 20	<p>Add the following condition (condition no.20):</p> <p>Prior to the commencement of any part of the development, detailed drawings at a scale of not less than 1:20 in plan, section and elevation of the main entrance at level 20 on the north-west corner of the Anchor Store shall be shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, prior to the commencement of any part of the development to be constructed above level 20 and above hereby approved (unless otherwise agreed in writing). The works shall be undertaken in accordance with the approved details.</p> <p>Reason: To ensure a satisfactory external appearance, in accordance with policy BE1 of the Core Strategy (2011) and policies DM G1, DM G2 and DM G4 of the Development Management Local Plan (2013).</p>		
Page 35	Replace “In addition to other forthcoming developments at the wider site, the reserved matters application was presented to the LBHF		

Design Review Panel on 23rd March 2015. The DRP comments (on the reserved matters application) will be included within an addendum to this report which shall include an officer response to the comments where applicable”

with

“The application was presented to members of the Design Review Panel on 23rd March 2016. The following comments were made on the application:

Mall Extension:

- It is a convincing building which has been carefully articulated so that it forms an appropriate foil to the listed DIMCO buildings.

Anchor Store:

- The concept of a standalone ‘object’ building for the proposed Anchor Store was welcomed but the design should be more heroic to fulfil its intended role;
- It appears as though it ‘floats in space’, does not have a robust base and the design would be more powerful as a single form;
- Concerns with how the public realm relates to the base and glass was being using in a defensive manner, the base needs to be more open and engaging and the entrance at the north west corner of the building was not successfully integrated into the design;
- The surroundings such as the railway viaduct should inform the architecture;
- Careful consideration should be given to the roof as it will be visible from the high level residential buildings;
- Signage was shown attached in an apparently random manner across the elevation and dilutes the idea of a concept building, the architecture should sign the building.

General:

- The internal elevations of the two buildings within the public room should be distinctive and should appear as a continuation of the external elevations.

Officer response: The internal elevations and glazed entrances will be subject to further reserved matters applications and signage will be subject to future Advertisement Consent applications. Conditions are recommended which would require detailed drawings of the proposed Anchor Store entrance in the north west corner, the base of the Anchor Store building where it meets the public realm and any roof top structures.

Page 86

Paragraph 6.66 (Heads of Terms), replace bullet points 1 and 4 with:

- Road to be built to adoptable standards and to the reasonable satisfaction of the Council;
- Road management and enforcement strategy to prevent the misuse of the bus priority lane and yellow boxes. Cost of linkages and necessary equipment to be covered by the developer and installed to the reasonable satisfaction of the Council.

Reg. Ref	Address	Ward	Page
2016/00539/OBS	Oaklands House, Old Oak Common Lane,	College Park and Old Oak	109

London NW10 6DU

- Page 110 In description, replace “611” with “605” residential units
- Page 111 S106 Agreement
Add “4 f) Highways Authority to have the right to be consulted and approve highways specification and design.”
- Page 111 Matters to be resolved/further information required
Delete nos. 1 to 3 and replace with:
“1. Viability assessment – to identify and secure the maximum reasonable proportion of affordable housing and appropriate contributions toward transport, education and healthcare provision”
- Page 112 Conditions
4. replace “qualitative” with “quantitative”
6. replace with “Demolition and Construction Management Plan”
23. add “submission of an Air Quality Assessment”
24. add “including wind tunnel assessment”
33. Replace with “Demolition and Construction Logistics Plan”
- Page 143 para. 3.170 add “any relevant submission of details applications should be agreed by the council”.
- para 3.175 replace ‘be consulted on’ with ‘agree’
- para 3.197 at end of para add “In order to ensure compliance with the NPPF and Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 these submission of detail applications should be agreed by the council”